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The McKenzie Method of MDT continues to be one of the most researched conservative approaches to musculoskeletal problems available. It has been examined in depth in relation to its utility in the spine, and the research is accumulating to support its use in the extremities. The following is a small selection of some of the most important studies on the approach to date, with an explanation of their significance.

Assessment Reliability

It is fundamentally important that any system of assessment and treatment has established reliability:

Reliability means that different examiners will agree on the assessment findings and reach the same patient classification. Since treatment decisions rely exclusively on the assessment and classification, this is critical. The following four studies demonstrate that the McKenzie Method, when applied by Credentialled or Diplomaed clinicians to the spine and extremities, found good to almost perfect reliability using a concurrent reliability design:


Clare HA, et al. Reliability of McKenzie classification of patients with cervical or lumbar pain. JMPT 28, 2005


Willis S, et al. Inter-rater reliability of the McKenzie System of MDT in the examination of the knee. JMMT Published online 07 Sept 2016

Treatment Efficacy

The following RCTs endorse the treatment value of MDT, showing efficacy in the spine and in the extremity.


This groundbreaking study clearly endorses the value of sub-classifying our patients using a McKenzie assessment, establishing directional preference and matching specific exercises based upon these findings. All patient outcomes including pain, function and medication use were dramatically affected.


With a one year follow-up, this study compared two alternative LBP interventions. The McKenzie Method was found to be more effective than manipulation, and the study gives support to the Method’s classification based approach.


The patients in this study had symptoms that would normally qualify them for surgery. The patients given directional preference exercises determined by the McKenzie Method improved significantly more with respect to global improvement, sick leave, vocational status, root compression signs, and patient satisfaction.


Patients given exercises based on an MDT assessment had superior outcomes compared to those of wait-list controls. 40% of the knees examined were classified as Derangements; they demonstrated large effect sizes at two weeks for all primary outcomes and up to large effect sizes at three months. This demonstrated success with a population with severe knee OA awaiting potential knee joint replacement.

Efficacy with Psychosocial Factors

Numerous studies have explored the effect of MDT on psychosocial outcomes. There have been positive effects in relation to fear avoidance, fear and disability beliefs, somatization, depressive symptoms and pain self-efficacy.

Wernike M, et al. Change in psychosocial distress associated with pain and functional status outcomes in patients with lumbar impairments referred to PT services. JOSPT. 41:969-980. 2011

Data from 586 patients with LBP showed that those who demonstrated non-centralization (37%) had significantly worse pain, functional disability and psychosocial distress outcomes compared to those who centralized (45%).

This RCT looked at psychosocial outcomes in patients with lumbar Derangement responding to the extension principle, given directional preference exercises alone and in combination with strengthening. At 4 and 8 weeks all groups demonstrated significant improvements on all measures of beliefs and fear avoidance.

**Predicting Outcomes**

The McKenzie Method also has an important asset in its ability to predict patient outcome through classification and the determination of Centralisation. If a patient with lumbar or cervical pain is classified as a Derangement and can centralise their symptoms in a short time after initiating MDT, the prognosis for a rapid and lasting improvement is very good.

*Werneke M. Hart DL. Centralization phenomenon as a prognostic factor for chronic LBP and disability. Spine. 26(7), 2001*

*Werneke MW. Hart DL. Categorizing patients with occupational LBP by use of the Quebec Task Force classification system versus pain pattern classification procedures. PTJ 84. 2004*


**Avoiding potential surgery and cost saving implications**

Several studies have shown the potential of MDT for pre-surgical screening and intervention to reduce surgery rates in the spine. This could have significant cost-saving implications. In the first study, four years after implementation of McKenzie based spine clinics in a Danish county, lumbar disc surgery rates were reduced by 50% compared with previous years. In the second study, transforaminal epidural injections followed by MDT demonstrated the potential to be an effective strategy in preventing surgical interventions for patients with lumbar disc herniation.


**Systematic Reviews and Guidelines featuring the McKenzie Method**

MDT and the phenomenon of Centralisation and Directional Preference have been the subject of, or included in, many systematic reviews and guidelines. Here few a few examples;


This review found that centralisation and directional preference had been reported in 62 studies. The majority of evidence was supportive of these responses as being reliably assessed and associated with a good prognosis.

*Stynes S. et al. Classification of patients with LB-related leg pain: a systematic review. BMC MSK Disorders 17:226, 2016*

This review evaluated 22 systems that classify populations with low back-related leg pain. MDT scored the highest of any system, with criteria based upon purpose, validity, feasibility, reliability and generalisability.

*Danish Health Technology Assessment: LBP. Frequency, management and prevention from an HTA perspective. National Board of Health. Copenhagen, Denmark. 1-106. 1999*

This wide-ranging review and guideline includes a summary of the McKenzie approach, both as a treatment and as a diagnostic method. They concluded there was limited evidence to support its use as a treatment for both acute and chronic LBP, and moderate evidence indicating its value as a diagnostic tool and prognostic indicator.

*Rossignol M. et al. Clinic on LBP in Interdisciplinary Practice (CLIP) Guidelines Montreal: Direction de sante publique, Agence de la sante et des services sociaux de Montreal. 2007*

The McKenzie Method is a recommended ‘therapeutic intervention’ for acute, subacute and chronic LBP patients with varying grades of scientific evidence.

*Delitto A. et al. Low Back pain. Clinical Practice Guidelines. JOSPT 42. 4. 2012*

It was recommended that clinicians should use specific repeated movements to promote centralization in patients with acute, subacute or chronic low back pain, with the recommendation based on Grade A ‘Strong evidence’

**For the most up-to-date and complete list of MDT references, visit:**

[www.mckenzieinstitute.org](http://www.mckenzieinstitute.org)